

Power System Event Detection and Identification with PMU Data

Nanpeng Yu, Associate Professor Director of Energy, Economics, and Environment Research Center Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Department of Computer Science Department of Statistics (cooperating faculty) <u>nyu@ece.ucr.edu</u> 951.827.3688

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE



Online Power System Event Detection Framework





Spatial & Temporal Coefficient Matrices and Graph Laplacian Construction

- Module multiple PMU data streams as Vector Autoregressive Process
 - > Let y_t be the data frame of certain measurements recorded by *N* PMUs at time stamp *t*. We model this vector time series with spatio-temporal model:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = A\mathbf{y}_t + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \Phi_j \mathbf{y}_{t-j} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

- Where A is called *spatial coefficient matrix*. Φ_j denotes the *j*-th *temporal coefficient matrix*. ϵ_t is a white noise vector. q is the order of the model.
- > We develop a product graph to represent the PMU time series data:





Online Abnormal Event Detection

- Graph Fourier Transform (GFT)
 - Let $s = [s(1), \dots, s(n)]$ denote the graph signals, where s(n) represents the value of the *n*-th node. GFT converts *s* into its counterpart in the Laplacian spectral domain:

$$\mathbf{S} = U^{-1}\mathbf{S}$$

- Where $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$ is a matrix of eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian L.
- > Abnormal measurement indicator (AMI) :

$$AMI = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i S(i)$$

- The DC component dominates the Laplacian spectral domain for PMU data under normal operating conditions.
- > When abnormal events occur, the non-DC components, especially the high frequency ones, become pronounced.



Event Detection Algorithm Performance Evaluation

 We compare performance of the proposed algorithm [1] with that of a benchmark algorithm called online algorithm for PMU data processing (OLAP) [2].



[1] Jie Shi, Brandon Foggo, Xianghao Kong, Yuanbin Cheng, Nanpeng Yu, and Koji Yamashita <u>"Online Event Detection in Synchrophasor Data with</u> <u>Graph Signal Processing,"</u> *IEEE SmartGridComm*, 2020.

[2] Gao P, Wang M, Ghiocel SG, Chow JH, Fardanesh B, Stefopoulos G. Missing data recovery by exploiting low-dimensionality in power system synchrophasor measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2015 Apr 6;31(2):1006-13. 5



6

Online Power System Event Identification

- Formulated as a classification problem
 - > No event, line event, generator event, oscillation event
- Input: 3 dimensional tensor
 - Time, PMU ID, and PQ|V|f measurement
- > Overall Framework
 - Three key modules
 - > Convolutional Neural Network based Classifier
 - > Graph Signal Processing based PMU Sorting
 - > Information Loading based Regularization



Jie Shi, Brandon Foggo, and Nanpeng Yu, "Power System Event Identification based on Deep Neural Network with Information Loading," under review, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.06718</u>, 2020.





Graph Signal Processing based PMU Sorting

- GSP base PMU Sorting Algorithm
 - Goal: Make parameter sharing more effective by systematically rearranging PMUs in the input tenors.
 - > Main Idea: Strategically place highly correlated PMUs close to each other.

> Algorithm

Algorithm 1: GSP based PMU sorting algorithm

- Obtain the Pearson correlation coefficients between PMUs;
- 2 Construct weight matrix W and Laplacian graph L;
- 3 Take eigendecomposition of L;
- 4 Sort PMUs according to the eigenvector corresponding to the second smallest eigenvalue of *L*;
- Visualization of Spatial Correlation Matrix

Unsorted



Sorted





Information Loading based Regularization

- Background
 - Abstract Representation of Deep Neural Network based Classifier [1]

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline P_Y & & \\ \hline P_X & & \\ \hline P_X$$

Main Idea

- > Controls the amount of information compression between the input layer and the last hidden layer of a deep neural network.
- > Balance memorization and generalization.





- Algorithm
 - Augment the typical cross-entropy loss function with estimated mutual information between the input layer and the hidden representation

$$L_T = L_{CE} - \beta \hat{I}(X;Z)$$

 $I(X; \tilde{Z})$

[1] Brandon Foggo, Nanpeng Yu, Jie Shi and Yuanqi Gao, <u>"Information Losses in Neural Classifiers from</u> <u>Sampling,"</u> *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 4073-4083, 2020.

 $-I(Y;Z^*)$

 $I(Y; \tilde{Z})$

 $I(X; \tilde{Z})$



Testing Results and Learned Representation

> F1 Scores on Testing Dataset

	Non-event	Line-event	Generator event	Oscillation event
Baseline	0.554	0.879	0.881	0.208
Baseline+info	0.596	0.928	0.924	0.205
Baseline+GSP	0.894	0.937	0.907	0.922
Baseline+GSP+info	0.973	0.971	0.962	0.986

Learned Representation

Comparison of representations produced by different methods after PCA based dimension reduction



(c) Baseline+GSP.

(d) Baseline+GSP+info.